

Status of XMM-Newton instrument calibration

Matteo Guainazzi, Andy Pollock, Martin Stuhlinger European Space Astronomy Centre (ESAC-ESA) Villaframca del Castillo, Spain

on behalf of the whole EPIC & RGS Instrument Teams

<u>Outline</u>



Status of EPIC calibration • 2-D PSF Refinement of the pn redistribution Improved modelling of the MOS redistribution • Rate-dependent CTI and quality of energy reconstruction in pn Timing Modes Status of RGS calibration • "Auditing the RGS effective area model" XMM-Newton internal cross-calibration

<u>Outline</u>



Status of EPIC calibration • 2-D PSF Refinement of the pn redistribution Improved modelling of the MOS redistribution • Rate-dependent CTI and quality of energy reconstruction in pn Timing Modes Status of RGS calibration • "Auditing the RGS effective area model" XMM-Newton internal cross-calibration

2-D PSF: elliptical envelope





Matteo Guainazzi - "EPIC Calibration Status" – IACHEC, Shonan Village Center – 27/4/2009

Stack PA-corrected images of many sources in 2XMM for different energies and off-axis angles
Fit the stacks with a beta- plus central Gaussian (MOS only) profiles
Create new CCF (ELLBETA extension)
Update CAL and arfgen

Available as of SASv8.0 via a switch (non-default)

Full PSF



(courtesy A.Read)

"Spokes" (and sub-) with a source position-independent orientation

Azimuthally-dependent modulation



MOS2



How SAS builds the 2-D PSF





[1] Elliptical PSF at a given E and θ [2] Gaussian "core" (MOS only) [3] Combine 1+2 [4] Rotate to correct source PA [5] Azimuthal spoke filtering [6] Azimuthal modulation

pn redistribution: the problem

(courtesy F.Haberl)



<u>Results</u>







MOS redistribution patch: the problem

engo 25-Nov-2008 17:4







300 320 340

Effect due to not perfect calibration of the "MOS redistribution patch"

The new VRMF model





Four parameters determine the overall shape: E_{peak}, σ=a+b/E, normalization
 ~30 times faster than the current SAS method

Results: line normalizations (0)



(courtesy A.Tiengo)

As a bonus we gain the gain



(courtesy A.Tiengo)



Rate-dependent CTI for pn fast modes



Count-rate dependent shift of the energy scale
Supported as of SASv8.0 – CCF available as of December 2008
Calibrated on a sample of non variable sources: 42 observations in Timing and 36 observations in Burst Mode



Post RDCTI spectral quality assessment

Timing Mode

Burst Mode



Difference to the laboratory energies: □ 20 eV (E<2 keV), □ 50 eV (E≈6 keV) CF#20: E=6.93± 0.02 keV CF#20: E=6.982± 0.017 keV Nominal: 6.969-6.983 keV

<u>Outline</u>



Status of EPIC calibration • 2-D PSF Refinement of the pn redistribution Improve modeling of the MOS redistribution Rate-dependent CTI and quality of energy reconstruction in pn Timing Modes Status of RGS calibration "Auditing the RGS effective area model" XMM-Newton internal cross-calibration

The XMM-Newton RGS effective area model



EFFAREACORR CCF = absorption(C*(t-t0), λ)) × areaCorrection(λ)

thickness of carbon contamination C*(t-t0) increasing linearly with time
 RXJ1856-3754 constant

areaCorrection(λ)
Mkn421 power-law spectrum
slope estimated from centre of the RGS waveband
Crab adjustment

time-variable model parameters unchanged since last year

rgscombined RXJ1856-3754 compared to EPIC-pn model



RXJ1856-3754's unvarnished rgslccorr history

Mkn421's RGS history in 1ks shots



de la Calle, Mingo, Ibarra

Audit of the RGS effective-area model

RXJ1856+3754

constant enough

complementary contamination information required

contamination build-up more complex than assumed ?

model inconsistencies

IACHEC's job

Mkn421

far from constant in flux or slope within XMM exposures
spectral curvature argued in the literature *cf* SRON Crab nebula analysis

Small background issues

<u>What now ?</u>





Joint XMM calibration issues • EPIC pile-up • selection regions extensively assessed New RGS constraint • RXJ1856-3754 model constant continuum sources ⊕ <u>line-rich sources</u> • RGS ⇔ EPIC • Better constrained contamination history • NVI lines near 29 Å in ζ Pup

<u>Outline</u>



Status of EPIC calibration • 2-D PSF Refinement of the pn redistribution Improve modeling of the MOS redistribution Rate-dependent CTI and quality of energy reconstruction in pn Timing Modes Status of RGS calibration "Auditing the RGS effective area model" XMM-Newton internal cross-calibration

Cross-calibration XMM-Newton database

Database of ~150 observation of different sources, optimally reduced, fit with spectral models defined on a source-by-source basis

Public interface available at: http://xmm2.esac.esa.int/external/xmm_sw_cal/calib/cross_cal/index.php



Statistical flux evaluation for SASv8.0





Summary of XMM-Newton XCAL

- Above ~0.8 keV, MOS fluxes are higher by on average 5-8% than pn.
- Several MOS flux ratios show decrease, dependent on energy band.
- High deviations for MOS/pn flux ratios below 0.3 keV.
- RGS flux ratios are stable for all energy bands.
- Above O-edge RGS (up to 1.5 keV) and EPIC-pn agree to 2% on average.
- Below O-edge RGS fluxes are on average 5-10% higher than EPIC-pn.

EPIC and RGS are consistent on average within 10%.