Current Calibration Status of the Suzaku XIS

<u>Hideki Uchiyama,</u>

M.Ozawa, M.Nobukawa, H.Matsumoto, T.G.Tsuru, K.Koyama (Kyoto University),

H.Nakajima, M.Uchino, K.Hayashida, H.Tsunemi, M.Nagai, K.Kimura, H.Uchida, N.Anabuki (Osaka University),

H.Mori, T.Dotani, A.Bamba, K.Matsuta, M.Ozaki, M.Tsujimoto (ISAS/JAXA),

K.Ogawa, S.Kawai, K.Mori, T.Kato, Y.Kimura, S.Aoyama,

Y.Ikegami, Y.Ishisaki (University of Miyazaki),

S.Kitamoto, H.Murakami, D.Takei (Rikkyo University),

T.Kohmura (Kougakuin University),

Y.Ishisaki (Metropolitan University),

E.D.Miller, B.LaMarr, M.Bautz (MIT),

Suzaku XIS team

Index

- 1. XIS system
- 2. Spaced-row Charge Injection
- 3. Contamination
- 4. Window mode calibration
- 5. 2x2 data mode calibration
 - Please refer Terada-san's presentation for timing calibration.
 - We are also studying
 - gain and quantum efficiency around Si-edge energy
 - gain and efficiency of atypical telemetry mode (2x2 edit mode)
 - regularly updating non-X-ray background

1.X-ray Imaging Spectrometer (XIS) =X-ray CCD

Picture of one CCD camera





•4CCD cameras (XIS0, 1, 2, 3)

•XIS0 & XIS3 ... Front-Illuminated CCD (FI)

•XIS1 ... Back-illuminated CCD (BI)

•XIS2 ... No operation (malfunction on Nov. 9, 2006)

•They have been operating since August 2005.

■We verified the recovery of the energy resolution from 210 eV to 150 eV (@5.9 keV,FWHM) at 14 month after launch.

 \blacksquare We found spatial non-uniformity of pulse height of Mn I K α line centroid.

Saw-tooth CTI correction method

- SCI has been operated as normal observation mode since December 2006.
- No anomaly related to SCI has happened so far.
- All SCI data are corrected with the saw-tooth method.
- High energy resolution is maintained now thanks to SCI.

3. Contamination on the XIS

- soft response (< 1 keV) degraded after launch
- varies with time, position on detector→detector
- molecular contaminant on OBFs
- composition uncertain
 - assume DEHP (plasticizer) from IRU; C₂₄H₃₈O₄
 - Now we assume C:O = 6:1
- monitoring
 - on-axis: E0102 (monthly), RXJ1856, PKS2155
 - full-field: bright Earth (monthly), Cygnus Loop, clusters

On-axis Contamination

• Measured with the data of E0102.

Contaminations of the XIS1,3 seem saturated.Contamination of the XIS 0 is increasing

Full Field Contamination

- Measured with bright earth data
- Especially using fluoresce neutral N-K α and O-K α lines
- Regularly updating model parameters

Contamination around the outer corner

The results based on N-K and O-K lines are different.
Now we assumed contamination consists of only carbon and oxygen. C:O=6:1.

•If contamination includes nitrogen, this difference can be explained.

•The results of the center do not require nitrogen absorption.

Pulse heights of cal source with full and 1/4 window mode

•It shows CTI of fast transfer is smaller than that of slow transfer.

•Now we corrected CTI of partial window mode considering its dependence on transfer speed.

Summary

- Spaced-row Charge Injection (SCI)
 - SCI has been operated as Suzaku XIS normal observation mode since December 2006.
 - SCI data are corrected with the "saw-tooth" CTI correction method.
 - SCI is working well with no problem. Energy resolution is maintained high.
- Contamination
 - On-axis: Contaminations of XIS 1, 3 seem saturated. XIS 1 keep increasing.
 - Full field: 2-dimensional model updating constantly
 - Outer corner : including nitrogen?
- Window mode
 - we corrected CTI of partial window mode considering its dependence on transfer speed.

Please tell me if you need saw-tooth CTI correction paper(s). Appendix. 2x2 edit mode calibration

- 2x2 edit mode to avoid telemetry saturation for bright sources.
- Normally we use 3x3 or 5x5 edit mode.
- In the case of 2x2 edit mode,
 - it is difficult to judge X-ray grade properly.
 - we cannot correct charge-trail effect.

 \rightarrow Detection efficiency and gain can be changed.

5x5 edit mode 3x3 e

3x3 edit mode

Event center (Pulse height is output.)

Pulse height is output.

Whether or not its pulse height exceeds the threshold is output .

•We made "pseudo" 2x2 data from 5x5 data and compare analysis results of the pseudo 2x2 data and original 5x5 data.

• Compared with X-ray grade events of the original 5x5 data, those of the pseudo 2x2 data increases by ~ 0.2 %.

 \rightarrow Some non-X-ray events are judged as X-ray but ignorable.

•Summed pulse heights of $2x^2$ data is smaller than that of $5x^5$ edit mode by ~ 10 eV systematically.

 \rightarrow We adjusted gain for 2x2 edit mode.

