

# XMM-Newton Calibration Updates

Michael Smith 10<sup>th</sup> IACHEC, Beijing 20-23 April 2015

### **Recent Calibration Files**



*	Astrometry: Time Variable Boresight Update	XMM_BORESIGHT_0024
*	Update of the RGS contamination correction	RGS1_EFFAREACORR_0009, RGS2_EFFAREACORR_0009
*	Update of the RGS Gain and CTI	RGS1_ADUCONV_0025, RGS1_CTI_0013,
*	RGS Bad Pixels	RGS2_BADPIX_0033
*	Update of EPIC MOS Gain and CTI	EMOS1_ADUCONV_0086, EMOS1_CTI_0074,
		EMOS2_ADUCONV_0087, EMOS2_CTI_0075
*	Refinement of the EPIC-MOS QE at the Si Edge	<pre>EMOS1_QUANTUMEF_0020, EMOS1_QUANTUMEF_0021,</pre>
		EMOS2_QUANTUMEF_0020, EMOS2_QUANTUMEF_0021 RGS2_ADUCONV_0028, RGS2_CTI_0014
*	CORRAREA: Estimate of Aeff EPIC Inter-Calibration Uncertainties	<pre>xrt1_xaereaef_0009, xrt2_xaereaef_0010,</pre>
*	Time-Dependent Width of the EPIC-pn Spectral Response	EPN_REDIST_0012
*	EPIC-pn Long-Term CTI and Energy Scale	EPN_CTI_0045
*	EPIC MOS HK GTI Selection	EMOS1_HKPARMINT_0011, EMOS2_HKPARMINT_0010
*	X-ray Loading and Rate-Dependent CTI Correction for EPIC-pn Bu	urst Mode EPN_REJECT_0008, PN_CTI_0046
	November 2014: SAS13.5 -> SAS 14.0	

### **Astrometry: Time-Variable Boresight Update**



#### A. Talavera and P. Rodríguez, 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-315

- Time-dependent boresight implemented in 2012 in order to address variability in star-tracker and instrument alignments.
- Recent observations show slight deviations of measured astrometry offsets with respect to model predictions.
- Deviations of the order of:
  - 0.5 arcsec for OM, and
  - 1-2 arcsec for EPIC and RGS

corrected in new CCF:

XMM\_BORESIGHT\_0024.



XMM-Newton Calibration Updates | Michael Smith | 10th IACHEC, Beijing | 20-23 April 2015 | Pag. 3

### **EPIC-MOS: Update of Gain and CTI**



M. Stuhlinger, 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-316 / 317

- Updated values of the EPIC-MOS gain and CTI parameters based on recent sets of cal source data.
- Gain and CTI parameters are defined on an epoch-wise basis. The changes affect the most recent epoch.
- Overall, the EPIC-MOS Full
  Frame mode energy
  reconstruction accuracy is 5
  eV at 2 keV, and within 10 eV
  elsewhere.



XMM-Newton Calibration Updates | Michael Smith | 10th IACHEC, Beijing | 20-23 April 2015 | Pag. 4

### **EPIC-MOS:** Refinement of the QE at the Si Edge



S. Sembay, M. Guainazzi, R. Saxton, M. Stuhlinger, 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-318

- Empirical correction driven by e.g. Read et al. 2014, A&A 564, 75 reported prominent emission-like feature in EPIC-MOS spectra not seen in EPIC-pn.
- Feature could be caused by residual inaccuracies of the MOS
   A<sub>eff</sub> calibration at the Si photoelectric absorption edge.
- Correction derived from targets with featureless spectra in the band of interest, and include blazars and the Vela pulsar.
- ◆ Data fit with absorbed powerlaw a models in the 0.85 4 keV band.
- Data-to-model residuals were stacked, and fit with a spline.



XMM-Newton Calibration Updates | Michael Smith | 10th IACHEC, Beijing | 20-23 April 2015 | Pag. 5

### **EPIC-MOS:** Refinement of the QE at the Si Edge



S. Sembay, M. Guainazzi, R. Saxton, M. Stuhlinger, 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-318

 Resulting spline fits used to empirically adjust the EPIC-MOS quantum efficiency in the 0.8 – 2.2 keV band:

EMOS1/2\_QUANTUMEF\_0020/21

- Calibration files validated on
  - ✓ a sample of blazars from the XMM-Newton cross calibration database
  - ✓ a sample of non-piled-up on-axis sources
- Residuals around the Si edge reduced from ~
  5% to ~ 2% dynamical range.



XMM-Newton Calibration Updates | Michael Smith | 10th IACHEC, Beijing | 20-23 April 2015 | Pag. 6

### **EPIC-pn: Time-Dependent Width of the Response**



#### R. Saxton, F. Haberl, M. Smith, 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-322

- Analysis of on-board calibration source and celestial sources indicates a gradual widening of the EPIC-pn spectral response, mainly due to the degrading CTE.
- Implementation of a time-dependent width of the response function is implemented in SAS 14.0
- The calibration was mainly based on two on-axis observations of the the Circinus Galaxy in 2001 and 2014.
- New calibration contained in EPN\_REDIST\_0012.

### **EPIC-pn: Time-Dependent Width of the Response**

R. Saxton, F. Haberl, M. Smith, 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-322



XMM-Newton Calibration Updates | Michael Smith | 10th IACHEC, Beijing | 20-23 April 2015 | Pag. 8

European Space Agency

esa

### **EPIC-pn: Time-Dependent Width of the Response**

R. Saxton, F. Haberl, M. Smith, 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-322



XMM-Newton Calibration Updates | Michael Smith | 10th IACHEC, Beijing | 20-23 April 2015 | Pag. 9

European Space Agency

esa

### EPIC-pn: Long-Term CTI and Energy Scale



M. Smith, M. Stuhlinger, R. Saxton, M. Freyberg, 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-323

- SAS 14.0 contains two major modifications to the EPIC-pn energy scale correction methods:
- E-dependent long-term CTI correction:
  - Previously, LTCTI calibrated at Mn-Ka
  - Now, trend at AI-Ka also taken into account



single events @ Al-Ka

### **EPIC-pn: Long-Term CTI and Energy Scale**



M. Smith, M. Stuhlinger, R. Saxton, M. Freyberg, 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-323

- SAS 14.0 contains two major modifications to the EPIC-pn energy scale correction methods:
- E-dependent long-term CTI correction:
  - Previously, LTCTI calibrated at Mn-Ka
  - Now, trend at Al-Ka also taken into account
- Doubles-to-singles energy offset:
  - Double-pixel event energies were known to <sup>§</sup>
    be overcorrected with respect to singles.
  - The discrepancies were increasing in time.
  - Issue mitigated through the introduction of empirical doubles-to-singles energy offsets, together with E-dependent LTCTI.



### double events @ Mn-Ka

### **EPIC-pn: Long-Term CTI and Energy Scale**



M. Smith, M. Stuhlinger, R. Saxton, M. Freyberg, 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-323



XMM-Newton Calibration Updates | Michael Smith | 10th IACHEC, Beijing | 20-23 April 2015 | Pag. 12

### **EPIC-pn: XRL & RDCTI Correction for Burst Mode**

J.-U. Ness, M. Guainazzi, M. Smith, 2015, XMM-CCF-REL-326

- Rate-dependent CTI parameters have been recalibrated for Burst Mode after including a correction for X-ray loading: EPN\_CTI\_0046, EPN\_REJECT\_0008
- 57 Burst Mode exposures were used for the RDPHA calibration.
- The empirical calibration is based on measuring gain shifts as function of shifted electron rate at the instrumental Si edge (1.8 keV) and telescope Au edge (2.2 keV).
- Additional validation at higher energies (5-8 keV) was performed using sources with prominent Fe transition features.







### **EPIC: Tool to Estimate Effect of A<sub>eff</sub> Uncertainties**



Guainazzi et al., 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-321

As of SAS14.0 a new **non-default** option

(applyxcaladjustment) is available in the arfgen task to empirically correct the EPIC effective areas by an energydependent multiplicative factor.

- This so-called "CORRAREA" correction tool can be used to evaluate the impact that the current relative EPIC A<sub>eff</sub> uncertainties have on astrophysical parameters derived from spectral fitting.
- The correction is empirical and somewhat arbitrary, and currently should not be used as replacement of the nominal calibration.

## **EPIC: Tool to Estimate Effect of A<sub>eff</sub> Uncertainties**

#### Guainazzi et al., 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-321

- The **CORRAREA** calibration is based on spectral analysis of 46 bright non-piled-up sources.
- The 0.7 7 keV EPIC-MOS stacked residuals against the EPIC-pn best-fit models were derived.
- This data was fit with a combination of a constant and Gompertz functions:

 $R_i(E) = a_i + a_{pn} + b_i \times e^{-c_i \times e^{-d_i \times E}}$ 

giving the MOS to pn empirical correction factors.

The EPIC-pn correction factor is E-independent, its value \* motivated by the fact that EPIC-pn yields the lowest 2 – 10 keV flux of all operational CCD instruments.





Instrument energy (keV)

### **EPIC: Tool to Estimate Effect of A<sub>eff</sub> Uncertainties**



#### Guainazzi et al., 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-321

18 MOS1 4.5-12.0 keV The self-consistent implementation  $\mu = 1.066 \pm 0.095$  $\mu = 1.046 \pm 0.093$ MOS2 6 Z 4.5-12 keV of the corrarea calibration has 6 1ğ 2.5-4.5 keV  $\mu = 1.047 \pm 0.065$  $\mu = 1.048 \pm 0.060$ been verified on the set of 46 bright 2.5-4.5 keV 6 z non-piled up sources. 1ğ 1.5-2.5 keV $\mu = 1.046 \pm 0.064$  $\mu = 1.059 \pm 0.059$ 6 z 1.5-2.5 keV 1ĝ 0.5-1.5 keV $\mu = 1.028 \pm 0.027$  $\mu = 1.051 \pm 0.022$ However, further validation is \* 6 0.5-1.5 keV Z required before this correction will 0.9 0.8 1.0 1.1 1.2 be implemented as default. 10 MOS1 4.5-12.0 keV  $\mu = 0.972 \pm 0.085$  $\mu = 1.004 \pm 0.089$ MOS<sub>2</sub> 6 4.5-12 keV z 8 ١ğ 2.5-4.5 keV $\mu = 0.993 \pm 0.062$  $\mu = 1.006 \pm 0.057$ 2.5-4.5 keV 6 z 8 After corrarea correction 1ğ 1.5-2.5 keV $\mu = 1.006 \pm 0.061$  $\mu = 1.009 \pm 0.056$ Z 1.5-2.5 keV đ 1ğ 0.5-1.5 keV  $\mu = 0.991 \pm 0.026$  $\mu = 0.989 \pm 0.020$ 6 0.5-1.5 keV Z 8 1.2 0.8 0.9 1.0 1.1 MOS/pn

XMM-Newton Calibration Updates | Michael Smith | 10th IACHEC, Beijing | 20-23 April 2015 | Pag. 16

### **RGS: Contamination Model Update**



R. González-Riestra, 2014, XMM-CCF-REL-314



### **RGS: Comparison with EPIC-pn Fluxes**



 51 observations of PKS 2155-304, 3C 273 and H 1426+428 processed with SASv14 and calibrations available in November 2014

	7 - 23.5 Å	23.5 - 38.5 Å
RGS1 / pn	0.98 ± 0.02	$1.03 \pm 0.01$
RGS2 / pn	0.99 ± 0.01	1.03 ± 0.02

 Work in progress. Should lead to an update of the RGS-pn rectification parameters contained in the RGSn EFFAREACORR CCFs



### **XMM-Newton Cross Calibration Status**



- ❖ Instrumental flux ratios derived from a set of ≈ 120 observations in the XMM- 30 Newton Cross-Cal Database.
  20 18
- MOS1 / pn:
  - ≈ 0.98 (E < 0.54 keV)
  - ≈ 1.04 (E > 0.54 keV)
- ✤ MOS2 / pn:
  - ≈ 1.00 (E < 0.54 keV)
  - ≈ 1.06 (E > 0.54 keV)
- MOS / pn above > 3 keV under investigation.
- RGS / pn: From 1.01 to 0.95 with increasing E (using χ<sup>2</sup> statistic)

