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One of the “Standard candle” working groups.
This presentation is a summary report of this group’s work:

XMM-Newton               Andy Pollock (Sheffield), Michael Smith,  
                          Martin Stuhlinger (ESAC)  
XMM-Newton MOS           Steve Sembay (Leicester)               
XMM-Newton pn            Frank Haberl (MPE)                   
Chandra ACIS             Paul Plucinsky (SAO)                  
Suzaku XIS               Eric Miller (MIT)                     
Swift XRT                Andrew Beardmore (Leicester)             
Models                   Adam Foster (SAO)                      
Hitomi                   Matteo Guainazzi (JAXA)               
**ASTROSAT**             **K.P. Singh (TIFR) Firoza Sutaria (IIAP),**  
                          **Sunil Chandra (TIFR)**
E0102: X-ray brightest in the SMC
0.77X0.77 arcmin, 13X13 pc
t ~ 2,000 yr (Finklestein et al. 2006)
$L_X(0.3-10.0 \text{ kev}) = 2.5 \times 10^{37} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$
no compact object
“O-rich” core-collapse SNR

N132D: X-ray brightest in the LMC
1.7X2.3 arcmin, 25x33.5 pc
t ~ 3,000 yr (Morse et al. 1996)
$L_X(0.3-10.0 \text{ kev}) = 1.0 \times 10^{38} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$
no compact object
“O-rich” core-collapse SNR
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Strong well-separated lines in the 0.5-1.0 keV bandpass make this target attractive for calibration of CCD instruments.

RGS Spectra of E0102

Counts s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) A\(^{-1}\)

Wavelength (A)
The IACHEC Standard Model

The IACHEC Standard model for E0102 has been unchanged for 5 years

The development of the model has been described in 2 SPIE papers and in previous IACHEC meetings:

2008: introduction of the model and first attempt at cross-calibration
(Plucinsky et al. 2008 SPIE)
2012: final model and second attempt at cross-calibration
(Plucinsky et al. 2012 SPIE)
The Standard IACHEC Model

The IACHEC Standard model for E0102 has been unchanged for 6 years

The development of the model has been described in 2 SPIE papers and in previous IACHEC meetings:

2008: introduction of the model and first attempt at cross-calibration
(Plucinsky et al. 2008 SPIE)

2012: final model and second attempt at cross-calibration
(Plucinsky et al. 2012 SPIE)

2016: ?????????????
The Next IACHEC Paper on E0102
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ABSTRACT

Context. The flight calibration of the spectral response of CCD instruments below 1.5 keV is difficult in general because of the lack of strong lines in the on-board calibration sources typically available. This calibration is also a function of time due to the effects of radiation damage on the CCDs and/or the accumulation of a contamination layer on the filters or CCDs.

Aims. We desire a simple comparison of the absolute effective areas of the current generation of CCD instruments onboard the following observatories: Chandra ACIS, XMM EPIC (MOS and pn), Suzaku XIS, and Swift XRT and a straightforward comparison of the time-dependent response of these instruments across their respective mission lifetimes.

Methods. We have been using 1E 0102.2-7219, the brightest supernova remnant in the Small Magellanic Cloud, to evaluate and modify the response models of these instruments. 1E 0102.2-7219 has strong lines of O, Ne, and Mg below 1.5 keV and little or no Fe emission to complicate the spectrum. The spectrum of 1E 0102.2-7219 has been well-characterized using the RGS gratings instrument on XMM and the HETG gratings instrument on Chandra. As part of the activities of the International Astronomical Consortium for High Energy Calibration (IACHEC), we have developed a standard spectral model for 1E 0102.2-7219 and fit this model to the spectra extracted from the CCD instruments. The model is empirical in that it includes Gaussians for the identified lines, an absorption component in the Galaxy, another absorption component in the SMC, and two thermal continuum components with different temperatures. In our fits, the model is highly constrained in that only the normalizations of the four brightest line complexes (the O vii triplet, O vii Ly α line, the Ne ix triplet, and the Ne x Ly α line) and an overall normalization are allowed to vary, while all
What is New in this Paper?

Not the model: the model has not changed!

Calibrations: some of the instruments have revised calibrations, especially for the ones with contamination layers. These new calibrations effect the cross-calibration of the effective areas.

Time Dependence: we include descriptions of the time dependence of ACIS, MOS, XIS, and XRT. There are now 4 more years of data that needs an appropriate calibration. All of these missions have extensive archives that demand an accurate, time-dependent calibration in order to derive reliable results. Only the pn produces stable count rates in the 0.3-2.0 keV band without a temporal correction for the effective area.
E0102: Comparison of Fitted Normalizations relative to the IACHEC Model
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ACIS Time Dependence

S3 subarray, N0009, CIAO 4.7, CALD 4.6.8

IACHEC value
Average of ACIS values
ACIS contamination model: Marshall, Vikhlinin (CXC)
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Uses of the E0102 IACHEC Standard Model

• ACIS - verify contamination model, check low energy gain, & monitor temporal changes
• MOS - verify contamination model, constrain redistribution function and monitor temporal changes
• pn - examine redistribution function at low energies
• XIS - develop contamination model, low energy gain and monitor temporal changes
• XRT - examine redistribution function at low energies and check response in PC and WT modes
• Konrad Dennerl’s new method of generating rmfs for pn and eRosita
• Prof. Meng’s, Vinay’s & Herman’s “concordance” analysis
• AND ASTROSAT has started using the E0102 model
SWIFT XRT (in Red) and SXT Spectrum comparison
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N132D ACTION ITEMS FROM 2015 IACHEC

- Matteo volunteered to lead the effort to merge the v2.6 and v2.9 models to provide a good fit across the entire 0.3-10.0 keV band [NO progress]
- remove the power-law to model the sky and instrument background and each instrument will add a sky and instrument background model appropriate for their instrument [NO progress]
- MOS data need to be reprocessed with standard grade set [DONE]
- Steve needs to check bad column correction in MOS [DONE]
- Develop a non-linear gain correction for the pn data and apply the correction to the events and do NOT use “gain fit” in XSPEC [Some progress]
- the WG will then fit N132D and compare the normalizations for Si XIII, S XV, and Ar XVII [NO progress]
N132D: Comparison of Fitted Norms for S XIII, S XV, & Ar XVII

N132D: Si XIII, S XV, Ar XVII, Updated 23 Apr 2015
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