



CXC



Thermal SNRs WG Current Membership

Andy Beardmore(Leicester), Sunil Chandra(CSRNWU), Konrad Dennerl(MPE), Jelle de Plaa(SRON), Gulab Dewangan(IUCAA), Adam Foster(SAO), Michael Freyberg(MPE), Terrance Gaetz(SAO), Brian Grefenstette(Caltech), Frank Haberl(MPE), Jelle Kaastra(SRON), Xi Long(SAO), Kristin Madsen(UMBC), Eric Miller(MIT), Paul Plucinsky(SAO), Andy Pollock(Sheffield), Manami Sasaki(Remeis Observatory & ECAP), Steve Sembay(Leicester), KP Singh(IISERM), Martin Stuhlinger(ESAC), Firoza Sutaria(TIFR), Hiroya Yamaguchi(ISAS)





E0102: IACHEC Efforts

- XMM RGS spectrum shows strong, well-separated lines of O, Ne, & Mg (Rasmussen et al. 2001)
- IACHEC standard, empirical model published in Plucinsky et al. 2017, A&A, 597, A35
- Model is used by Chandra and was used by Suzaku to verify contamination models and by Swift, ASTROSAT and NICER to search for contamination, gain and other purposes
- key data set for the Concordance paper (ACIS, pn, MOS, Suzaku, Swift)
- model available at "https://wikis.mit.edu/confluence/display/iachec/Thermal+SNR"



Chandra ACIS

Red (0.3-0.5 keV), Green (0.5-0.75 keV) Blue (0.75 – 7.0 keV) Paul Plucinsky







Cas A: IACHEC Efforts

- Highest X-ray flux from a *thermal* SNR ($F_x=2.6x10^{-9} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} [0.3-10.0 \text{ keV}]$)
- Beardmore (Leicester) developed an IACHEC standard, empirical model, available at "<u>https://wikis.mit.edu/confluence/display/iachec/Cas+A</u>"
- significant spectral variations with position due to different plasma conditions and bulk velocities
- CXC calibration team is starting to use Cas A in conjunction with the ACIS external calibration source for gain calibration

normalized counts s⁻¹ keV⁻¹

• Swift and ASTROSAT use Cas A for gain and CTI calibration

Chandra ACIS



Beardmore (Leicester) XMM MOS1



Paul Plucinsky

atio





N132D

- Most X-ray luminous SNR in the Local Group
- Spectrum is more complicated than E0102, significant Fe-L and Fe-K emission and multiple (identified) temperature components (Behar et al. 2000, Suzuki et al. 2020)
- More spectral variation with position & slightly larger than E0102
- Routine calibration target for XMM and Chandra LP in 2019/2020



Red (0.3-0.75 keV), Green (0.8-1.1 keV), Blue (1.1 – 2.0 keV) Paul Plucinsky



Chandra ACIS

Thermal SNRs 20210517



N132D: Model Development

- WG is developing two models currently, an empirical model (abs., nlapec, Gaussians) and a physical model (abs., vrnei or vapec, Gaussian)
- RGS data has driven the empirical model in the 0.3-1.5 keV range. Stuhlinger, Pollock & Guainazzi developed first version in 2012.
- Suzuki et al. 2020 published an analysis of the 1st and 2nd order RGS spectra, used in the physical model.
- Empirical model currently consists of:

- two components for absorption (Galactic and LMC)

- 120 Gaussians for the lines
- three nlapec components for the continuum with:

$$kT_1 = 0.18 \text{ keV}$$

$$kT_2 = 1.14 \text{ keV}$$

$$kT_3 = 5.48 \text{ keV}$$







N132D: Model Development

- We must develop the model in different stages (energy ranges), given the sensitivities of the various instruments
- Ideally we would go in order of flux, low energy range first, then the mid energy range next and finally the high energy range. But we jumped ahead to the high energy range
- We should correct this and go in order of flux

0.3-1.5 keV: RGS 1.5-4.5 keV: pn, MOS, ACIS, XRT, XIS 4.5-8.0 keV: NuSTAR, pn, MOS, ACIS, XIS

- Thermal SNRs WG has focussed recently on the high energy part of the spectrum taking advantage of the new information from NuSTAR (Grefenstette) and Suzaku (Miller), also Bamba et al. 2018
- Results presented at this meeting will focus on the 4.5-8.0 keV range

N132D: ACIS 2006 data (5532,7259,7266), N132D_E0310_v2.13_20210421, CStat=7944 fit 0.35–10.0 keV, DOF=3970, PChi=1.93, Gl Norm=1.04, S3 Bkg Norm=0.82



Paul Plucinsky



N132D General Fitting Instructions

- fit in the 4.5 - 8.0 keV band (the 8.0 keV is flexible, do what makes sense for your instrument)

- use unbinned spectra for fitting or use Kaastra's optimal binning method using the ftool optimal binning (using 'ftgrouppha' with 'bintype=opt')

- use an explicit background model for your instrument, do not subtract background

- vary what parameters make sense for your background model, hopefully this is just a normalization
- use the C statistic as the fit statistic to determine the best fit
- use the Pearson chi square or chi square with the weighting by the model for test statistic
- report the C statistic, Pearson chi square and DOF for the fits

- run the goodness command in xspec with the default settings of "sim" and "fit" to evaluate the goodness of the fit.



Physical Model Fitting Instructions

```
allow the global norm to vary (n132d:1)
Freeze the normalization of the high kT vrnei component (currently
4.77464 keV) and do NOT allow it to vary (n132d:189)
set the neutral Fe K line normalization to 0 and freeze it (n132d:192)
report C statistic, Pearson chi square, and DOF
report the best fit value of the global normalization with 1 sigma uncertainty (this is the only free parameter in the source model)
report the flux in the 4.5-8.0 keV band with 1 sigma uncertainty
```

Model is called "n132d_afoster_suzuki_vrnei_20210420.mdl" and is available on the IACHEC wiki page:

https://wikis.mit.edu/confluence/display/iachec/Current+N132D+model

Only one free parameter in the source model, a global normalization. For this exercise, we are assuming a spectral shape, evaluating if that shape fits the data well. If yes, then we will compare the fitted values of the normalization and flux.



Empirical Model Fitting Instructions

- freeze the normalization of the neutral Fe line to 0.0
- allow the Global Norm to vary (source:1)
- freeze the normalization of the high kT component and do NOT allow it to
 vary (source:419)
- allow the normalization of the Fe XXV He-alpha f line (source:390)
- to vary, the normalizations of the Fe XXV He-alpha f and i lines are linked to the normalization of the Fe XXV He-alpha r line. So, only one normalization in the Fe XVV He-alpha triplet is allowed to vary.
- allow the normalization of the Fe XXVI Ly-alpha line (source:399)
 to vary
- there should be only three free parameters in the source model, source1, source:390, and source:399
- report C statistic, Pearson chi square, and DOF
- report the result of the goodness command
- report the best fitted values with 1 sigma uncertainties (delta C statistic of 1.0) for the Global Norm, normalization of the Fe XXV He-alpha r line, and the normalization of the Fe XXVI Ly-alpha line
- report the flux in the 4.5-8.0 keV band with 1 sigma uncertainty

Only three free parameters in the source model, all are normalizations.

Model is called "N132D_E0310_v2.13_20210421.mdl" and is available on the IACHEC wiki page:

https://wikis.mit.edu/confluence/display/iachec/Current+N132D+model



The Spectral Fitters

Those who did the work:

NuSTAR Suzaku XIS XMM pn & MOS Models XMM-RGS Chandra ACIS Swift XRT Brian Grefenstette (Caltech) Eric Miller (MIT) Adam Foster (SAO) Adam Foster (SAO) Martin Stuhlinger (ESAC) Paul Plucinsky (SAO) Andrew Beardmore (Leicester) CXC



Development of Physical Model and Fits to the pn and MOS Data

Adam Foster presents this



CXC











Paul Plucinsky









Paul Plucinsky



CXC





Stuhlinger (ESAC) N132D



Paul Plucinsky

Thermal SNRs 20210517



Physical Model Fit Results

Instrument	GI. Norm	Flux [4.5-8.0 keV] (erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹⁾	CStat	DOF	PChi	Goodness
initial	1.00	0.94_E-12				
ACIS	1.19+/-0.03	1.13+/-0.03 E-12	1617	1432	1.02	69%
Suzaku XIS0	1.14+/-0.02	1.07+/-0.02 E-12	522	481	1.03	84%
NuSTAR FPMA	1.04+/-0.05	0.99+/-0.03 E-12	179	169	1.09	76%
NuSTAR FPMB	1.15+/-0.06	1.07+/-0.05 E-12	*	*	*	*
pn	1.00+/-0.01	0.96+/-0.01 E-12	13122	11882	1.02	96%
MOS1	1.04+/-0.01	1.00+/-0.01 E-12	21288	26561	0.98	27%
MOS2	0.97+/-0.01	0.93+/-0.01 E-12	21681	26561	0.99	30%
Swift	1.03+/-0.09	0.93+/-0.09 E-12	576	548	0.89	3%



Physical Model Flux Results



Paul Plucinsky



CXC









Empirical Model (v2.13), un-binned (Caltech)



24



CXC



Paul Plucinsky



CXC







Paul Plucinsky

Thermal SNRs 20210517



eesa

Stuhlinger (ESAC)

N132D





Empirical Model Fit Results

Instrument	GI. Norm	Flux [4.5-8.0 keV] (erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹⁾	Fe XXV He-a f (photons cm ⁻² s ⁻¹⁾	Fe XXVI Ly-a (photons cm ⁻² s ⁻¹⁾	CStat	DOF	PChi	Goodness
initial	1.00	1.24_E-12	3.0E-06	2.2E-07				
ACIS	0.97+/-0.02	1.09+/-0.03 E-12	3.7+/-0.3 E-06	8.8+/-?? E-07	1621	1424	1.04	80%
Suzaku XIS0	0.83+/-0.01	1.07+/-0.01 E-12	3.9+/-0.2 E-06	13.0+/-6.0 E-07	544	478	1.07	96%
NuSTAR FPMA	0.79+/-0.05	0.99+/-0.02 E-12	3.6+/-0.5 E-06	2.0+/-?? E-10	183	168	1.10	87%
NuSTAR FPMB	0.87+/-0.04	1.09+/-0.03 E-12	same	same	*	*	*	*
pn	0.75+/-0.01	0.95+/-0.01 E-12	3.6+/-0.1 E-06	1.8+/-0.2 E-7	13181	11880	1.04	100%
MOS1	0.77+/-0.01	0.99+/-0.01 E-12	3.9+/-0.1 E-06	1.5+/-0.4 E-7	21303	26559	1.00	51%
MOS2	0.71+/-0.01	0.92+/-0.01 E-12	3.9+/-0.1 E-06	2.3+/-0.4 E-7	21724	26559	1.00	49%
Swift	0.78+/-0.07	1.15+/-0.12 E-12	2.3+/-1.4 E-06	??	574	546	0.89	3%



Empirical Model Flux Results



Paul Plucinsky -



Conclusions

- physical model and empirical model have similar parameters for the continuum and similar strengths of Fe XXV He-α triplet, hopefully this means that the empirical model has some basis in reality
- physical model and empirical model fit equally well in the 4.5-8.0 keV band *IF* the global normalization is allowed to vary
- the overall shape of the spectrum is similar amongst the various instruments in this bandpass
- there are significant flux differences in this band with ACIS having the highest flux and MOS2 having the lowest flux



Future Work

- go back to the original order of model development, low energy part first, middle energy next, high energy last
- want to preserve what we have done for the high energy part of the spectrum
- finalize the low energy part of the model, based on analysis of the RGS
- schedule: finish low energy part this summer and have candidate model for middle range BEFORE the IACHEC meeting in the Fall
- finalize the middle range model at the Fall meeting

XMM: MOS & PN Data prep

Instrument	N obs	Time obs (ks)	N obs used	Time used (ks)
PN	54	670	17	355
MOS1	50	884	38	830
MOS2	50	1007	38	893

Data selected to ensure similar modes, filters and that the remnant is on the chip.

1) Detector background:

 Fit model from 4.5 to 12.0 keV. Detector BG model is loaded, but with overall norm free for each detector. Fit to get background norm, then freeze.

2) N132D fit

 Reset range to 4.5-8keV, Freeze all model components except those listed.



Plasma Diagnostics of the Supernova Remnant N132D using Deep XMM–Newton Observations with the Reflection Grating Spectrometer

Hitomi Suzuki^{1,2}, Hiroya Yamaguchi^{2,3}, Manabu Ishida^{1,2}, Hiroyuki Uchida⁴, Paul P. Plucinskv⁵, Adam R. Foster⁵, and

Eric D. Miller⁶

The Best-fit Parameters of the Three-temperature NEI Model

Suzuki model: 3x ionizing plasmas

- Same timescale (τ=9.8x10¹⁰cm⁻³s¹)
- Same elemental abundances
- Different kT

These components do not describe high kT. From Bamba+ (2018) There is a ~5.7keV component too and evidence for a neutral Fe line.

Added one extra vrnei component for high kT plasma. Set to 4.8keV based on fits to this data.

Bamba: 10.3847/1538-4357/aaa5a0 Suzuki: 10.3847/1538-4357/aba524

Parameters		
N _H	$(10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2})$	$6.8\substack{+0.1\\-0.4}$
$kT_{e,low}$	(keV)	$0.200^{+0.004}_{-0.005}$
VEM _{low}	$(10^{60} \text{ cm}^{-3})$	$1.31_{-0.04}^{+0.03}$
σ_{low}	$({\rm km} {\rm s}^{-1})$	438 ± 34
Vlow	(km s ⁻¹)	559 ± 18
$kT_{e,med}$	(keV)	$0.563^{+0.01}_{-0.005}$
VEM _{med}	$(10^{60} \text{ cm}^{-3})$	$1.52_{-0.03}^{+0.02}$
$\sigma_{\rm med}$	$({\rm km \ s^{-1}})$	445^{+21}_{-20}
v _{med}	$({\rm km \ s}^{-1})$	183 ± 11
$kT_{e,high}$	(keV)	$1.36_{-0.02}^{+0.04}$
VEM _{high}	$(10^{60} \text{ cm}^{-3})$	$0.93_{-0.03}^{+0.05}$
σ_{high}	$({\rm km \ s}^{-1})$	0 (fixed)
Vhigh	$({\rm km} {\rm s}^{-1})$	-639 ± 27
C		$0.26\substack{+0.02\\-0.01}$
N		$0.172\substack{+0.009\\-0.010}$
0		$0.34_{-0.02}^{+0.01}$
Ne		$0.51^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$
Mg		$0.49^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$
Si		0.59 ± 0.05
S		$0.57^{+0.06}_{-0.03}$
Ar		$0.75^{+0.09}_{-0.07}$
Ca		$0.04^{+0.12}_{-0.04}$
Fe		$0.411^{+0.014}_{-0.007}$
Ni		$0.71^{+0.11}_{-0.00}$
n _{et}	$(10^{10} \mathrm{cm}^{-3} \mathrm{s})$	$9.8^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$
C-statistics/dof		10426/7563



ratio



s⁻¹ keV⁻¹

normalized counts s⁻¹ keV⁻¹ normalized counts

Š

ed counts s

Physical Model

Empirical Model

Parameters

Physical Model

Instrument	GI Norm	Flux	Cstat	DOF	Pchi	Goodness
PN	1.001+/-0.006	9.58 +/- 0.06	13122	11882	12160	96.00%
MOS1	1.039+/-0.009	9.95 +/- 0.09	21288	26561	26201	27.00%
MOS2	0.966 +/- 0.009	9.25+/-0.09	21681	26561	26352	30.00%
ALL	1.001+/-0.004	9.58+/-0.04	56118	65006	64762	27.00%

Empirical Model

Instrument	GI Norm	Flux	Fe XXV He-a (E-6)	Fe XXVI Lya (E-6)	Cstat	DOF	Pchi	Goodness
PN	0.749+/-0.005	9.52+/-0.06	3.55+/-0.07	1.80+/-0.21	13181	11880	12336	100.00%
MOS1	0.767+/-0.008	9.87+/-0.10	3.86+/-0.14	1.46+/-0.38	21303	26559	26513	51.00%
MOS2	0.711+/-0.007	9.22+/-0.09	3.89+/-0.14	2.26+/-0.39	21724	26559	26588	49.00%
ALL	0.743+/-0.004	9.51+/-0.04	3.68+/-0.06	1.83+/-0.17	56242	65004	65486	82.00%