

# In-flight calibration of the Wide-field X-ray Telescope on board Einstein Probe

He-Yang Liu & Huaqing Cheng on behalf of EP Science Centre

IACHEC @ Parador de La Granja 2024-05-13

- Overview of the in-flight calibration observations of WXT
- Key performance goals
- In-flight calibration status
  - \* point spread function and angular resolution
  - ★ positioning accuracy
  - energy band
  - ★ effective area
  - energy response
- Future plan and issues
- Summary

#### In-flight calibration observation log & schedule

Round	Calibration item	Target	Calibrated module	Observational date
1	Energy response	Cas A, Tycho	4, 10	2024/1/19 - 2024/1/25
	PSF, angular resolution		10 modules (except Module 3 & 9)	2024/1/25 - 2024/3/8
	Positioning accuracy	Crab		
	Energy band			
	Effective area			
2	PSF, angular resolution	Sco X-1	3, 9	2024/5
	Positioning accuracy			
	Energy band			



Scanning the detectors (6x6) by targeting on the Crab

#### In-flight calibration observations



### **Key Performance Goals**

No.	Calibration item	Goal	Requirement of systematics/precision	
1	Positioning Accuracy	≤ 2 arcmin (J2000, 90% C.L.)	_	
2	Energy band	0.5 – 4 keV	_	
3	Effective area	$\geq$ 2 cm <sup>2</sup> @1keV	$\leq$ 20%(1 $\sigma$ )	
4	Angular resolution	$\leq$ 5 arcmin @1keV	_	
5	Energy resolution	$\leq$ 170 eV @1.25 keV	$\leq 20\%(1\sigma)$	

### 1. Positioning Accuracy

- \* 10 modules (except 3 & 9) calibrated via Crab observations
- **Highest priority!**

- Goal: better than 2 arcmin (J2000, 90% C.L.)
- Method: calibration of the rotation matrix and non-linear corrections with a PSF scan in 6x6 mesh grid



By now positioning accuracy better than 2 arcmin (J2000,90% C.L.)

### 2. Energy band



Spectral analysis of the Crab nebula (along the center direction, FM1, CMOS3)

## **3. Effective Area**

- Modules: 10 modules (except 3&9)
- Goals:
  - ⋆ Absolute effective area ≥2 cm<sup>2</sup>@1keV
  - Precision (systematics) better than 20% (1 $\sigma$ )
- Method:
  - Estimate the absolute effective area via on-axis observations
  - Estimate the systematics via on-axis and off-axis observations



Crab spectral fitting along center direction (FM1, CMOS3)

The simulated effective area curve (built based on ground calibration) provides a reasonable description to the in-flight effective area, i.e. ~3 cm<sup>2</sup>@1keV (ground values)

#### **Effective Area: systematics**



The systematics of the effective area is generally less than  $10\%(1\sigma)$ .

#### 4. PSF and angular resolution

- 10 modules (except 3 & 9) calibrated via Crab observations
- Goal: angular resolution  $\leq 5 \operatorname{arcmin}@1 \operatorname{keV}$
- Method: PSF extraction and analysis with elliptical function





PSF 6x6 scanning array(FM12, CMOS48)

PSF analysis (elliptical fitting, method identical to that employed on ground)

#### **PSF:** angular resolution



Summary of the FWHM (R60: the 60 percentile)

The angular resolutions mostly fall within 5 arcmin, fulfilling our goals.

#### In-flight angular resolution vs. Ground values



#### No noticeable degradation in the imaging quality was found after launch.

#### **5. Energy response of the CMOS detectors**

- Modules: 4&10
- Goals:
  - \* Energy resolution  $\leq$  170 eV @1.25 keV (Mg K $\alpha$ )
  - \* Measurement precision better than 20% (1 $\sigma$ )
- Method:
  - ⋆ Perform emission line analysis to Tycho spectra
  - ★ Estimate the resolution at 1.25 keV by extrapolation

#### **Energy response of the CMOS detectors**





Spectral analysis of (stacked) spectrum of Tycho (FM4, CMOS16)

Energy resolution (compared with ground measurements, FM4, CMOS16)

#### No obvious variations in GAIN and energy resolution for most of the detectors after launch.

#### Extrapolate from 1.86 keV and obtain the resolution @ 1.25 keV

Flight Model No.	CMOS	Resolution @ 1.25 keV	Precision(1 $\sigma$ ) %
4	13	134.1+/-1.9	1.4
4	14	131.7+/-1.6	1.2
4	15	120.2+/-1.9	1.6
4	16	129.6+/-3.4	2.6
10	17	119.1+/-1.5	1.3
10	18	121.6+/-1.7	1.4
10	19	121.6+/-2.4	2.0
10	20	130.7+/-2.0	1.5

The energy resolution @1.25 keV is in range of 120-140 eV with a precision of 1-3% (1 $\sigma$ )

- The observations of Sco X-1 are ongoing for the calibration of FM
  3 & 9 concerning PSF, spatial resolution and positioning accuracy.
- Summary of the calibration and paper publication.

 The 2 keV bump in the Crab spectrum



- This feature was already found in ground calibrations and LEIA data
- Likely due to the incomplete understanding on the Iridium absorption

 2. The EC relations of two detectors (CMOS 5&7) appears to vary by a factor of 10%



- The reason for GAIN variation remains unclear
- We plan to observe Cas-A/Tycho for GAIN correction

#### **Summary**

- Preliminary analysis of the WXT data shows
  - ★ Positioning accuracy: less than 2 arcmin (J2000, 90% C.L.)
  - \* Angular resolution: 3.3 4.4 arcmin (FWHM, R60)
  - \* Effective area: ~3.0 cm<sup>2</sup>@1keV, systematics ~4-13% (1 $\sigma$ )
  - \* Energy resolution: ~120 140 eV @1.25 keV, Precision ~1-3% (1 $\sigma$ )
  - ⋆ Detected band: 0.4 6 keV
- The in-flight performances fulfill design goals, without noticeable degradation after launch until now.

### A solid foundation for scientific discovery!

<u>Contact:</u> Huaqing Cheng (EPSC) <u>hqcheng@nao.cas.cn</u> <u>Heyang Liu (EPSC)</u> Liuheyang@nao.cas.cn